Learning Analytics

Dr. Bowen Hui Computer Science University of British Columbia Okanagan

Last Class: General Decision Problems

• Probability theory

– Estimate state of the world

• Utility theory

– Quantifies preferences over outcomes

- Expected utility
	- Evaluates actions

Preferences

- A preference ordering ≽ is a ranking of all possible states (worlds), S
- Specifically, for any two states *s* and *t*:
	- *s* ≽ *t* means that *s* is at least as good as *t*
	- *s* ≻ *t* means that *s* is strictly preferred to *t*
	- *s ~ t* means that the agent is indifferent between *s* and *t*

Axioms on Preferences

- Transitivity: Given 3 states A, B, C: $(A > B) \land (B > C) \Rightarrow (A > C)$
- Why is this important?
	- Suppose you strictly prefer coffee to tea, tea to OJ, OJ to coffee

 M/h at's wrong with this? \ldots is a construct a \ldots construction and extract lots of α What's wrong with this?

Axioms on Preferences

- Transitivity: Given 3 states A, B, C: $(A > B) \land (B > C) \Rightarrow (A > C)$
- Why is this important?
	- Suppose you strictly prefer coffee to tea, tea to OJ, OJ to coffee

substitutability, monotonicity, monotonicity, decompositivity, decompositivity, decompositivity, decompositivity, \mathcal{L}_max

 $-$ If you prefer X to Y, you'll trade me Y + \$1 for X

Axioms on Preferences

- Transitivity: Given 3 states A, B, C: $(A > B) \land (B > C) \Rightarrow (A > C)$
- Why is this important?
	- Suppose you strictly prefer coffee to tea, tea to OJ, OJ to coffee
	- $-$ If you prefer X to Y, you'll trade me Y + \$1 for X
	- I can construct a "money pump" and extract lots of money from you!
- Others axioms: orderability, continuity, substitutability, monotonicity, decomposibility

Decision Problems: *Certainty*

- A decision problem under certainty consists of:
	- A set of decisions, D E.g. actions you can take in a plan
	- A set of outcomes or states, S E.g. states you can reach by executing a plan
	- An outcome function, $f: D \rightarrow S$ E.g. the outcome of a decision
	- $-$ A preference ordering \geq over S
- A solution to a decision problem is any $d^* \in D$ s.t. $f(d^*) \geq f(d)$ for all $d \in D$

- 2 variables x 2 actions = 4 possible outcomes
- Preference over outcomes: $Free, Dry > Encumbered, Dry > Wet$
- Later: quantify the strength of these preferences

Decision Making Under *Uncertainty*

- Suppose outcomes are not deterministic
	- E.g. bring umbrella, but 5% chance it gets stolen
- Or actions are not deterministic
	- E.g. pour coffee, but 20% of time it spills
- How to decide what to do?
	- Decision involves figuring out:
		- How likely is each outcome (probability)
		- How good is each outcome (utility)

• 2 states x 2 actions = 4 possible outcomes

- 2 states x 2 actions = 4 possible outcomes
- Utility = a real number value of each outcome

- 2 states x 2 actions = 4 possible outcomes
- Utility = a real number value of each outcome
- Each state has a probability

- 2 states x 2 actions = 4 possible outcomes
- Utility = a real number value of each outcome
- Each state has a probability
- EU(takeUmb) = Pr(rain)U(encDry) + Pr(noRain)U(encDry) $= (0.4)(7) + (0.6)(5) = 5.8$

- 2 states x 2 actions = 4 possible outcomes
- Utility = a real number value of each outcome
- Each state has a probability
- EU(leaveUmb) = Pr(rain)U(wet) + Pr(noRain)U(freeDry) $= (0.4)(-8) + (0.6)(10) = 2.8$

- 2 states x 2 actions = 4 possible outcomes
- Utility = a real number value of each outcome
- Each state has a probability
- $EU(takeUmb) = 5.8$
- \cdot EU(leaveUmb) = 2.8
- Which action will you choose?

Utilities

• Rather than just ranking outcomes, we quantify our degree of preferences

– How much more important is *s* over *t*

- A utility function, $U: S \to \mathbb{R}$ associates a real-
valued utility with each outcome
	- $U(s)$ measures your degree of preference for s
- Note: U induces a preference ordering $\geq v$ over S defined as: $s \geq \frac{1}{11} t$ iff $U(s) \geq U(t)$

Expected Utility

- Under conditions of uncertainty, each decision induces a distribution Pr_d over possible outcomes
	- $Pr_d(s)$ is the probability of outcome s under decision d

DECISION TREE POSSIBLE OUTCOMES OF DECISION TO SETTLE OR GO TO COURT

Expected Utility

- Under conditions of uncertainty, each decision induces a distribution Pr_d over possible outcomes $-$ Pr_d(s) is the probability of outcome s under decision d
- The expected utility of decision d is defined as: $EU(d) = \sum_{s \in S} Pr_d(s)U(s)$

DECISION TREE POSSIBLE OUTCOMES OF DECISION TO SETTLE OR GO TO COURT

Expected utility of going to court:

 $p_1u_1 + p_2u_2$ $=$ \$251,750

DECISION TREE POSSIBLE OUTCOMES OF DECISION TO SETTLE OR GO TO COURT

Bayesian Action Selection

• Expected utility accommodates for uncertainty modeled in system's belief

user states (81)

The MEU Principle

• Principle of maximum expected utility (MEU) states that the optimal decision under conditions of uncertainty is that the one with the highest expected utility

• Decision making under rational choice – "Subjective" variables to model in utility function

Decision Problems: *Uncertainty*

- A decision problem under certainty consists of:
	- A set of decisions, D
	- A set of outcomes or states, S
	- An outcome function, Pr: D $\rightarrow \Delta(S)$
		- $\Delta(S)$ is the set of distributions over S (e.g. Pr_d)
		- Under certainty, the outcome function was $f: D \rightarrow S$
	- A utility function U over S
		- Under certainty, we had a preference ordering \succeq over S
- A solution to a decision problem is any $d^* \in D$ s.t. $EU(d^*) \ge EU(d)$ for all $d \in D$

EU Modeling Uncertainty

- EU accounts for both
	- Uncertainty in action outcomes
	- Uncertainty in state of knowledge
	- Combination of the two

Where Do Utilities Come From?

- Utility theory tightly coupled with action/choice
- Preference elicitation ask (as few as possible) structured queries to determine preferences between specific scenarios
- Utility functions needn't be unique
	- U is unique up to positive affine transformation
	- All decisions have the same relative utility
	- Adding a constant to U or multiplying U by a positive constant doesn't change the modeled preferences

Computational Bottlenecks

- Outcome space is large
	- State space can be huge
	- Don't spell out distributions explicitly
	- Solution: Use Bayes nets to exploit independence

Computational Bottlenecks

- Outcome space is large
	- State space can be huge
	- Don't spell out distributions explicitly
	- Solution: Use Bayes nets to exploit independence
- Decision space is large
	- Single-shot actions are pretty simple
	- More commonly, problems involve sequential choices (e.g. plans)
	- Viewing each plan as a distinct decision results in huge decision space
	- Solution: Use dynamic programming methods to construct optimal plans

Illustrative Example

- Suppose we have two actions: a, b
- We have to decide to execute two actions in sequence, which is one of:

– *[a,a], [a,b], [b,a], [b,b]*

- These actions are stochastic:
	- Action *a* induces distribution *Pra(si |sj)* over states
	- $-$ E.g. $Pr_a(s_2|s_1)$ = 0.9 means probability of moving to state s_2 when *a* is performed at s_1 is 0.9
- Which sequence of actions to take?

Distributions for Action Sequences

EU Exercises

- Complete handout of exercises – Individually or in groups
- Good practice for Quiz 2!

Key Ideas

- Main concepts
	- Utility theory enables us to express the strength of our preferences over outcomes
	- Maximum expected utility (MEU) principle
- Representation:
	- Decision making under certainty: Preference ranking of outcomes
	- Decision making under uncertainty:
		- Probability distribution of outcomes
		- Utility function to express preference of outcomes
- Computational issues:
	- Outcome space is too large
	- Decision space is too large